Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE pond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control Time.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TPE STRANGAUTTAL		Application Number		09/848,534	
A PANSMITTAL	Filing Date	May	/ 2, 2001		
MAR 0 1 2006 FORM	First Named Invent	r Pet	Peter Van Horne		
**************************************		Art Unit	362	1	
low sed for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	Cal	Calvin L. Hewitt	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Nu	mber CIS	CISCO-4667	
	ENCLO	SURES (check all that	apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s			After Allowance Communication to TC	
Fee by Credit Card	Licensing	-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board	
r ee by Credit Gard	I	-related r apero	I	of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		□F	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		ess S	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) please identify below):	
	Request f	or Refund		icant/Attorney Interview Summary.	
Express Abandonment Request	CD, Numb	per of CD(s)		•	
Information Disclosure Statement	•		dscape Table on CD		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks				
Reply to Missing Parts/					
Incomplete Application	ŀ				
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53					
SIGI	NATURE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTOR	NEY, OR AG	ENT	
Firm	THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP				
Signature	hvh				
Printed Name	John P. Schaub				
Date	2/27/	06	Reg. No. 42,1	25	
	CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISSI	ON/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this corresponder Service with sufficient postage as fit Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date	st class mail i	simile transmitted to the n an envelope address	USPTO or de ed to: Commi	posited with the United States Postal ssioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Signature	erree	Poul	4		
Typed or printed name Beatrice	Bailey		D	ate 217.7/20010	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary

Application No.: <u>09/848,534</u>	plication No.: 09/848,534 First Named Applicant: Peter Van Horne, et al.					
Examiner: Calvin L. Hewitt II	Art Unit: 3621	Status of Applicat	ion: Pending			
Participants: (1) Examiner Darnell M. Jayne (2) John Schaub, Reg. No. 42,125						
(3)	(4)		·			
Date of Interview: January 17, 20	006					
Type of Interview: (a) [X] Telephonic	(b) [] Personal	(c) []	(c) [] Video Conference			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	[] YES [X] N	0				
If yes, provide brief description:						
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed N/A			
(1)		_ []	[] [] [] [] [] []			
[] Continuation Sheet Attached	[] Copy of Draft Ar	nendment (attached))			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:						
John Schaub, Reg. No. 42,125 and Examiner Darnell M. Jayne discussed the Improper Pre-Appeal Request Decision mailed on December 22, 2005. Examiner Jayne indicated that the Request for Pre-Appeal Conference sumbitted by Applicant on September 15, 2005 was proper and that the Decision mailed 12/22/2005 would be vacated.						
Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.						
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed be the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)						
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (CISCO-4667)						